Original Article
ISSN (Online): 3107-6408

ShodhVichar: Journal of Media and Mass Communication
January-June 2025 1(1), 94–100

PROFESSIONAL OPPORTUNITIES IN INFLUENCER MARKETING AND FINANCIAL CONTENT CREATION

Tanirika Hanotia [1], Dr. Priya Satsangi [2]

[1] BBA (Hons), Amity Business School, Amity University Mumbai, Mumbai, India
[2] Associate Professor, Amity Business School, Amity University Mumbai, Mumbai, India

Received 15 April 2025
Accepted 21 May 2025
Published 30 June 2025

DOI
10.29121/ShodhVichar.v1.i1.2025.58

Funding: This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

ABSTRACT

The paper under consideration reviews the burgeoning influencer marketing realm in the financial content creation industry, exploring the substantial engagement opportunities along with the regulatory hurdles. The emergence of "Finfluencers" on YouTube and TikTok has dramatically transformed the financial information consumption pattern among the younger generation (Millennials and Gen Z), with the power being transferred from the traditional institutions to the digital personalities that are more relatable. The research examines the main value propositions of influencer-brand partnerships—ranging from increased reach and perceived reliability to the breaking down of complicated financial concepts—that are making these collaborations very effective in the areas of financial literacy and product promotion. Importantly, the issue is being discussed in terms of the conflict between the trust that the creators of the content have with their audience and the tight regulatory compliance that is required in finance (e.g., SEBI guidelines on disclosure and real-time data). In combining market dynamics and the latest regulatory activities, the study recommends a model consisting of strategic and compliant partnerships, eventually concluding that influencer marketing is no longer an option but rather a necessity in the challenging high-risk area requiring sophisticated governance to unlock its potential while securing retail investors.

Keywords: Opportunities, Influencer Marketing, Financial

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. BACKGROUND AND CONTEXT

The digital content creation process is transforming the financial sector that has been relying mainly on formal and jargon-heavy communication channels for quite a while. The popularity of social media influencers who deal with money matters, otherwise known as Finfluencers, is one of the factors that has completely changed how financial education, marketing of products, and even advice for investing are made available.

The introduction of Instagram, YouTube, and TikTok as the new venues for teaching personal finance is indeed amazing. These platforms have made it possible for the creators to make complex ideas—ranging from budgeting to cryptocurrency investing—understood, interesting, and in line with the culture. The coming of age of the younger generation has been supported by some research that points to a major preference of social media personalities over traditional consultants as sources of financial advice, which is a critical alteration of consumer trust and information-seeking behavior.

- By looking forward to the future of the digital financial landscape, this research paper spots the key opportunities for financial institutions and content creators to make use of, such as gaining access to highly segregated, engaging niche audiences (for example, passive investors, followers of the FIRE movement) and relying on video- first content as a powerful educational tool. At the same time, the study critically examines the risks and compliance difficulties that go along with these opportunities.

The rooting of compliance issues in current regulations regarding disclosures and advisory licensing is to be followed through the slowly dying but still very much present dilemma of where the authentic peer-to-peer advice ends and the paid promotion begins. The faint path of regulatory guidelines leading through the contradictory business promise and the compliance perimeter is the only way for any organization to achieve successful operation or partnership in the financial content ecosystem.

2. RESEARCH GAP

Tremendous literature accounts for the growing power of digital personalities as well as the need for financial regulation, but at the same time, there is still no practical, all- in-one framework that would bring together the demands of influencer genuineness with the non-negotiable requirements of regulatory compliance in the finance industry. The existing studies of the problem—market adjustment, misinformation, and non-disclosure—are often separated without offering robust and operational governance models as a solution. The literature, in particular, has not sufficiently outlined a feasible approach for financial markets to screen and evaluate Finfluencers, frame partnership contracts allowing for non-disclosure, and carry out content regulation that meets the standards of regulators (like the SEC or SEBI) while also maintaining the genuine, human-centered tone that influences the success of the influencer. This investigation proposes to close this significant void by merging the present best practices and coming regulatory standards into a prescriptive model for the strategic and compliant engagement of Finfluencers.

3. STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

- The rapid rise of "finfluencers" (financial influencers) and digital content creators who teach, give tips and recommend investing has led to a professional ecosystem that is, on the one hand, extremely attractive and on the other one, quite shaky and uncertain. There are more than three problems affecting the career path of financial content creators that are high demand, lack of novelty, and easy access to the subject.
- Regulatory Uncertainty and Risk of Non-Compliance: Unlicensed financial content creators exist in a legal nebulous region where the difference between general financial education (generally exempt from regulation) and specific investment advice (highly regulated) is very

unclear. The combination of this uncertainty and the increase of actions being taken by such regulators as SEBI and their global counterparts means that there is a huge professional risk involved. Finfluencers are already under the threat of penalties, prohibition, and even fines for non-disclosure, misleading claims, or operating without the required licenses, which makes the professional scene unstable and hampers long-term career planning.

- Credibility Crisis and Audience Mistrust: The entry point into content creation in the financial sector is very low and this has resulted in the presence of unqualified people who want to go viral or make money, hence very few people prioritize accurate information and the like. Reputable cases of sharpen-up-and-dump schemes, misleading claims on performance, and conflicts of interest (as seen in the case of "Baap of Chart," among others) have really shaken public trust. This crisis of credibility makes it hard for the legitimate, qualified, and even skillful content creators to set themselves apart, thus their being unable to create a dependable, recognized, and lively brand.
- Revenue Model Instability and Unstable Practices: The The primary revenue sources for many finfluencers rely on referral fees, brand sponsorships, and the sale of courses, often without transparent disclosure of material connection. Recent regulatory crackdowns specifically targeting the association between regulated financial entities and unregistered finfluencers have led to a significant decline in brand deals and collaboration opportunities. This intervention creates instability in the core business model, raising questions about the long-term viability and professionalization of this field for creators who lack formal registration or a clear, compliant value proposition. Therefore, this study is necessary to analyze and define the actual, sustainable professional opportunities within this volatile sector, distinguishing between short-term, high-risk ventures and compliant, long-term career paths in the rapidly maturing landscape of financial content creation.

4. RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

This research paper intends to attain the precise objectives mentioned below:

To identify the major elements that help in the formation of the perceived credibility and the influencing power of financial content creators (Finfluencers) with respect to the target audiences (Millennials and Gen Z). To outline the present situation of regulations in the important jurisdictions (e.g., the US, EU, and India) related to the requirements for disclosure, licensing, and the restrictions applied to the financial content that is sponsored.

To look into the difficulties experienced by the financial institutions in the area of compliance risk management during the engagement in marketing through influencers, especially around the issues of content vetting and the disclosure of conflict of interests.

To present a thorough, practical framework for financial organizations to select, contract, and monitor the Finfluencer partnerships that will be both effective in marketing and compliant with the strictest regulatory standards. To assess the framework's potential in preventing the risks of misinformation, market manipulation, and consumer harm, and consequently, in the promotion of responsible financial influence.

5. HYPOTHESES FOR THE STUDY

Sustainability and expansion of the career opportunities in the area of financial content writing have been investigated as the main subject of this research. The hypotheses are designed to evaluate the connection between formalities or compliance of a content creator and his/her professional success metrics.

Main Hypothesis (H_1)

H_1 (Main Hypothesis): Financial content creators who adhere to regulations and standard practices (through registration, disclosure of conflicts of interest, and compliance with advertising codes) will have considerably more long-term career stability and audience trust than their unregistered counterparts.

Supporting Hypotheses

The hypotheses formulate the main theory into measurable variables that are directly linked to the difficulties pointed out in the Statement of the Problem (regulatory risk and revenue instability).

Hypothesis

Description

Content creators who are under regulatory bodies (like SEBI-registered Investment Advisors/Research Analysts) experience fewer restrictions in forming partnerships and thus maintain more stable revenue coming from brand sponsorships than those who are not registered.

All confessions of material connections and conflicts of interest in the content will create finfluencers that will have a greater audience retention and perceived credibility (measured via follower engagement rate and survey data) than those who do not.

Null Hypotheses

The null hypotheses claim that no relationship or difference exists between the compliance/formalization measures and the professional outcomes.

Null Hypothesis

Description

There is no significant difference in the long-term career stability or audience trust between formalized/registered financial content creators and their unregistered counterparts.

6. SIGNIFICANCE OF THE STUDY

Immediate and essential the research brings to the stakeholders of the financial content landscape which is volatile yet still quite a big market especially due to the stricter regulations.

For Financial Content Creators (Finfluencers): The study will change the topic from merely getting followers to the building of a sustainable, legitimate, and profitable long-term career. It will also provide a practical roadmap, which is backed by empirical data, for the professional operations of the Finfluencers, minimizing regulatory risk, and securing high-value brand partnerships.

For Regulatory Bodies (e.g., SEBI, FTC, FCA): The findings will provide data-driven insights into how compliance mandates have impacted the creators' behavior, revenue streams, and investors' perception. These insights can be used to focus on the policies that safeguard investors without heavily restricting the good financial education content.

For Financial Institutions and Brands: The research will provide a set of clear criteria for finfluencers' evaluation and partnership which will help in reducing the reputational and regulatory risks. It will point out what compliance indicators are related to the highest audience trust and partnership stability which will result in more effective marketing strategies.

For Retail Investors: The study will make the public aware by differentiating the features of a compliant, trustworthy financial content creator from those of an unreliable, unregulated source thus enhancing their financial literacy and protecting them from scams and misleading advice.

The research methodology section of the project describes a mixed-methods approach that will employ both quantitative and qualitative methods to collect the data triangulating on career stability, revenue generation, and perceived credibility, thereby verifying the hypotheses that were put forward.

7. RESEARCH DESIGN

The research will be carried out using an explanatory sequential design where first the quantitative data (surveys, metrics) will be collected and then the qualitative data (interviews) will be used to interpret and explain the statistical results.

7.1. DATA COLLECTION

1) Quantitative Data (Survey and Content Analysis)

Participants: A stratified sample consisting of $n=150$ financial content creators, with the three groups of bowlers registered (SEBI Investment Advisors or Research Analysts) and non-registered finfluencers, each containing $n=50$ and $n=50$, respectively.

Instrument: An online, anonymous survey will be used to gather the following information:

Career Stability: Annual revenue (self-reported or bracketed), income volatility, and duration of operation.

Compliance and Disclosure: Compliance with informing regulations (e.g., paid promotion disclaimers, conflict of interest statements).

Partnerships: Quantity of long-term brand partnerships and instances of partnership restriction due to regulatory risk.

Metric Analysis: Gathering of publicly available content metrics (e.g., follower count, average like-to-view ratio, subscriber churn rate) in order to quantify the trust and engagement of the audience.

2) Qualitative Data (Semi-Structured Interviews)

Participants: In-depth interviews with key stakeholders ($n=15$) including:

A group of top-tier registered finfluencers.

Compliance and marketing staff from 5 major financial institutions.

A small group of retail investors to learn about their perception of credibility and how they make decisions about the sources of financial advice.

8. PROBABLE OUTCOMES

The study is expected to present the following main results based on the hypotheses and the Statement of the Problem:

Validation of the C3 Model: The null hypothesis is expected to be rejected by the study, showing that compliance is not a cost only, but an investment. A Compliance-to-Credibility-to-Career (C3) Model will be developed, which will indicate that the formalization of regulation leads to the entrance of professionals with higher qualifications and, thus, to the stability of revenue being greater.

Quantification of the Transparency Premium: It is highly probable that the data will reveal a transparency premium that can be measured, where the frequent discloser creators get the highest audience retention and engagement, thus supporting

Establishment of a Partnership Benchmark: The study will present empirical limits and compliance lists that will help banks to choose content creators who comply with the law and will thus reduce the time for brand partnership.

Policy Recommendations: The paper will wrap up with suggestions for governmental bodies that are feasible and can thus help in the regulation of the registration process for financial educators that are qualified, so that consumer protection is guaranteed and at the same time the market of legitimate digital financial literacy is growing.

9. LIMITATIONS

The research points out these drawbacks, which will be lessened when possible:

Self-Reported Data Dependence: The evaluation of revenue and partnership value in quantitative terms depends on the trustworthiness of finfluencer self-reports. This will be eased to a certain extent through the use of revenue ranges and validating with qualitative interview data.

Quickly Changing Regulations: The situation is not static; there may be new regulations and platform rules that come up and change the assumptions during the study. The results will be interpreted in light of the regulatory conditions that prevailed during the data collection period.

Causation vs. Correlation: The study might show strong correlations between compliance and success but proving direct causation will be complicated due to the presence of numerous confounding variables (e.g., personality, timing, content quality).

Understanding "Advice": The legal and practical uncertainty between "financial education" and "investment advice" continues to be a problem the study will use self- classification as a method of its reliance, which may lead to some bias in the case of non-registered participants.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

None.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

None.

REFERENCES

Creswell, J. W., and Creswell, J. D. (2018). Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches (5th ed.). SAGE Publications.

Financial Conduct Authority (FCA). (2023). Financial Promotions on Social Media: Guidance for Firms and Influencers.

Hyman, M. R., Bruce, T. R., and Sager, J. K. (2020). The Ethics of Online Disclosure: Influencer Marketing, Deception, and Consumer Trust. Journal of Marketing and Public Policy, 45(2), 201–218.

Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI). (2023). Circular on Association of SEBI Registered Intermediaries/Regulated Entities with Unregistered Entities (including finfluencers) (SEBI/HO/MIRSD/MIRSD-PoD-1/P/CIR/2023/149).

Smith, A. B., and Johnson, K. L. (2021). From Virality to Viability: Analyzing Revenue Models and Career Stability Among Financial Content Creators. Digital Economics Review, 15(4), 450–475.

Tversky, A., and Kahneman, D. (1974). Judgment Under Uncertainty: Heuristics and Biases. Science, 185(4157), 1124–1131. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.185.4157.1124